REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FORMER HARRISON COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Period January 1, 2006 Through November 30, 2006



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE (502) 573-0050 FACSIMILE (502) 573-0067

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE FORMER HARRISON COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Period January 1, 2006 Through November 30, 2006

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the former Harrison County Sheriff's audit for the period January 1, 2006 through November 30, 2006. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting.

Financial Condition:

Excess fees increased by \$25,226 from the prior year, resulting in excess fees of \$43,076 as of November 30, 2006. Revenues increased by \$7,303 from the prior year and expenditures decreased by \$17,923.

Deposits:

The former Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	.7
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS	.3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	.6
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND	
On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	.11



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Alex Barnett, Harrison County Judge/Executive The Honorable Bruce Hampton, Former Harrison County Sheriff Members of the Harrison County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees regulatory basis of the former Sheriff of Harrison County, Kentucky, for the period January 1, 2006 through November 30, 2006. This financial statement is the responsibility of the former Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a regulatory basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues, expenditures, and excess fees of the former Sheriff for the period January 1, 2006 through November 30, 2006, in conformity with the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated June 15, 2007 on our consideration of the former Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.



TELEPHONE 502.573.0050

The Honorable Alex Barnett, Harrison County Judge/Executive The Honorable Bruce Hampton, Former Harrison County Sheriff Members of the Harrison County Fiscal Court

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Sheriff and Fiscal Court of Harrison County, Kentucky, and the Commonwealth of Kentucky and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these interested parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

June 15, 2007

HARRISON COUNTY BRUCE HAMPTON, FORMER SHERIFF STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS

For The Period January 1, 2006 Through November 30, 2006

Revenues

Kentucky Law Enforcement Foundation Program Fund (KLEFPF)				
State:				
Telecommunications Tax	\$	1,252		
Transport Prisoners		1,508		
Finance and Administration Cabinet		5,408		
Justice Center Security Payroll		46,792		
HB 413		11,192		
HB 452		9,142		75,294
Circuit Court Clerk:				
Fines/Fees Collected		12,320		
Court Ordered Payments		6,350		18,670
Fiscal Court				
County Patrol		1,650		
Postage		3,800		
County Contribution		134,450		139,900
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes				174,198
Commission on Taxes Collected				1,437
Fees Collected For Services:				
Auto Inspections		3,210		
Accident/Police Reports		151		
Serving Papers		17,130		
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapons Permits (CCDW)		4,650		
Delinquent Tax Fees		275		25,416
Other:				
Sheriff's Add On Fees		17,246		
Miscellaneous		109		
Advertisement		275		
State Police Overtime		1,305		
Bond		81		19,016

HARRISON COUNTY

BRUCE HAMPTON, FORMER SHERIFF

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Period January 1, 2006 Through November 30, 2006 (Continued)

Revenues (Continued)

Interest Earned		\$ 9,093
Borrowed Money:		
State Advancement		97,208
		<u> </u>
Total Revenues		588,673
<u>Expenditures</u>		
Operating Expenditures and Capital Outlay:		
Personnel Services:		
Deputies' Salaries	\$ 190,698	
Office Personnel Salaries	24,919	
Justice Center Security Salaries	43,909	
Overtime	6,023	
KLEFPF	22,540	
Employee Benefits:		
KLEFPF Retirement	5,901	
Employer Paid Health Insurance	54,666	
Contracted Services:		
Vehicle Maintenance and Repairs	378	
Supplies and Materials:		
Office Materials and Supplies	5,087	
Uniforms	151	
Supplies/Equipment	2,004	
Miscellaneous	11,216	
Other Charges:		
Conventions and Travel	2,772	
Dues	60	
Postage	3,235	
Transport Prisoners	1,193	
Bond	406	
CCDW	3,005	
Training	1,163	
Fiscal Court Payments	 5,500	384,826
Debt Service:		
State Advancement		 97,208
Total Expenditures		 482,034

HARRISON COUNTY

BRUCE HAMPTON, FORMER SHERIFF

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND EXCESS FEES - REGULATORY BASIS For The Period January 1, 2006 Through November 30, 2006 (Continued)

Net Revenues	\$ 106,639
Less: Statutory Maximum	 63,563
Excess Fees	43,076
Payment to Fiscal Court - November 30, 2006	 43,076
Balance Due Fiscal Court at Completion of Audit	\$ 0

HARRISON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

November 30, 2006

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of revenues over expenditures to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

KRS 64.820 directs the fiscal court to collect any amount, including excess fees, due from the Sheriff as determined by the audit. KRS 134.310 requires the Sheriff to settle excess fees with the fiscal court at the time he files his final settlement with the fiscal court.

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this regulatory basis of accounting revenues and expenditures are generally recognized when cash is received or disbursed with the exception of accrual of the following items (not all-inclusive) at November 30, 2006 that may be included in the excess fees calculation:

- Interest receivable
- Collection on accounts due from others for the period January 1, 2006 through November 30, 2006 services
- Reimbursements for the period January 1, 2006 through November 30, 2006 activities
- Tax commissions due from December tax collections
- Payments due other governmental entities for payroll
- Payments due vendors for goods or services provided in the period January 1, 2006 through November 30, 2006

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

HARRISON COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT November 30, 2006 (Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that covers all eligible full-time employees and provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members.

Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 10.98 percent for the first six months and 13.19 percent for the last five months of the period. Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 8 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 25.01 percent for the first six months and 28.21 percent for the last five months of the period.

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record. This report may be obtained by writing the Kentucky Retirement Systems, 1260 Louisville Road, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-6124, or by telephone at (502) 564-4646.

Note 3. Deposits

The former Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The former Sheriff did not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather followed the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of November 30, 2006, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Alex Barnett, Harrison County Judge/Executive The Honorable Bruce Hampton, Former Harrison County Sheriff Members of the Harrison County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of revenues, expenditures, and excess fees - regulatory basis of the former Harrison County Sheriff for the period January 1, 2006 through November 30, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated May 11, 2007. The Sheriff's financial statement is prepared in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the former Harrison County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the former Harrison County Sheriff's financial statement for the period January 1, 2006 through November 30, 2006, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Harrison County Fiscal Court, and the Kentucky Governor's Office for Local Development, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

June 15, 2007